



Empowering or exploiting? Ethical Questions in the AI-Driven Digitization of Feminist Literary Archives

Dr. Seema Nigam¹; Dr. Rashmi Dubey²

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of English, D.B.S. College, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: seemanigamdb@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence in the field of digital humanities has opened new possibilities for preserving and accessing feminist literary archives. At the same time, it raises a pressing question: does AI serve as a tool of empowerment, or does it risk reinforcing existing inequalities in new forms? This paper explores the ethical dimensions of using AI technologies in the digitization and interpretation of feminist literary collections. Feminist archives are not merely repositories of texts; they carry voices shaped by struggle, resistance, and identity. When AI systems are used to organize, analyse, or curate these materials, the underlying algorithms may unintentionally reproduce biases embedded in historical data or dominant knowledge systems. The study reflects on how issues such as data ownership, representation, consent, and cultural sensitivity become central in this context. It also considers the risk of marginalizing already voices that are often ignored, when AI prioritizes patterns based on dominant narratives. At the same time, the paper acknowledges the empowering potential of AI in making feminist writings more visible, searchable, and globally accessible, especially for scholars and readers who were previously excluded. By critically examining both sides, this paper argues that the ethical use of AI in feminist literary archives requires careful human oversight, inclusive data practices, and a commitment to preserving the integrity of marginalized voices. Rather than viewing AI as inherently empowering or exploitative, the study suggests that its impact depends on how consciously and responsibly it is designed and applied.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Feminist Literary Archives, Digital Humanities, AI Ethics, Digitization, Algorithmic Bias, Representation, Data Ethics, Cultural Preservation, Gender Studies

1. Introduction

The rapid development of artificial intelligence has significantly changed the methods through which knowledge, literature, and historical records are preserved in the digital age. Libraries, museums, and archival institutions are increasingly adopting AI-based technologies to digitize manuscripts, classify documents, and improve public access to literary materials. Within this changing environment, feminist literary archives have also become part of the expanding digital humanities landscape. These archives preserve the writings, memories, experiences, and intellectual contributions of women whose voices have often remained neglected within traditional literary history. The digitization of such archives

creates opportunities for preservation and accessibility, yet it also raises serious ethical concerns regarding representation, ownership, and technological control.

Feminist literary archives are not merely collections of historical texts. They represent social struggles, lived experiences, resistance against patriarchal structures, and efforts to reclaim women's place within literary and cultural history. Many feminist writings emerged from communities that experienced exclusion, censorship, or limited representation in mainstream discourse. Preserving these materials therefore carries cultural and political importance alongside literary value (Wernimont). The involvement of artificial intelligence in organizing and interpreting these archives introduces questions about whether technology can responsibly preserve the complexity and emotional depth of feminist narratives.

Artificial intelligence systems operate through algorithms trained on large datasets. Since these datasets often reflect social and institutional inequalities, AI technologies may unintentionally reproduce historical biases within digital archives (D'Ignazio and Klein). When automated systems prioritize dominant linguistic or cultural patterns, voices that are already less visible may continue to remain marginalized. Feminist scholars have long argued that technology cannot be viewed as completely neutral because it is shaped by the values and assumptions of society (Adam). This concern becomes especially important in feminist archival work where historical representation itself has been unequal.

Ethical questions also emerge regarding consent, data ownership, and cultural sensitivity. Personal letters, diaries, oral histories, and unpublished feminist writings may lose important historical context when processed through automated technologies. In some cases, digitization projects controlled by large institutions may create situations where cultural knowledge becomes commercially valuable without adequate protection of the communities connected to those archives (Varon and Peña). Such concerns create tension between the desire to improve accessibility and the risk of transforming feminist memory into digital data that can be manipulated, categorized, or commercially exploited.

This paper examines the ethical dimensions of AI-driven digitization in feminist literary archives by exploring both its empowering and exploitative possibilities. It investigates issues related to algorithmic bias, representation, ownership, and cultural preservation while emphasizing the need for responsible and human-centered technological practices. The study argues that the future of feminist digital archives depends not only on technological innovation but also on ethical awareness and sensitivity toward voices that have historically struggled for recognition.

2. Understanding Feminist Literary Archives

Feminist literary archives play an important role in preserving the intellectual, cultural, and emotional histories of women across different societies and historical periods. These archives include novels, letters, diaries, essays, autobiographies, journals, oral histories, unpublished manuscripts, and other forms of literary expression created by women. They serve not only as collections of texts but also as records of struggle, resistance, identity, and social transformation. Feminist archives attempt to recover voices that were ignored, silenced, or excluded from dominant literary traditions and historical narratives.

Historically, women's writings often received less recognition and institutional support compared to works produced by male authors. Many women writers faced barriers related to education, publication, social expectations, and cultural restrictions. As a result, numerous feminist texts remained scattered, unpublished, or absent from mainstream archives. Feminist archival work emerged as an effort to

challenge this historical imbalance and to create spaces where women's experiences and perspectives could be documented and valued (Wernimont, 2013).

The importance of feminist literary archives extends beyond literature itself. These archives preserve memories of social movements, gender struggles, political resistance, and cultural change. They help researchers understand how women expressed their identities, questioned patriarchal structures, and participated in intellectual life during different historical moments. In many ways, feminist archives become spaces of "cultural memory" where neglected experiences gain historical visibility (Wilson, 2022).

In the digital age, the preservation of feminist literary materials has become increasingly connected with technology. Digitization allows fragile manuscripts and rare documents to be stored securely and shared with wider audiences. Digital archives can remove geographical barriers and provide access to readers, students, and researchers across the world. Collections that were once limited to physical libraries or private institutions can now become publicly accessible through online platforms. This increased accessibility has strengthened interest in feminist scholarship and interdisciplinary research.

Despite these advantages, feminist literary archives also face important challenges during the process of digitization. The transformation of personal and historical materials into digital data may sometimes reduce the emotional and cultural context connected to those writings. Feminist scholars have emphasized that archives are never completely neutral because decisions regarding selection, categorization, and presentation influence how history is remembered (D'Ignazio and Klein, 2020). When AI technologies participate in organizing archives, there is a possibility that dominant linguistic and cultural patterns may shape the visibility of certain voices over others. Another challenge involves representation and inclusivity. Feminist archives must address differences related to class, race, language, caste, sexuality, and regional identity. Some groups of women have historically received greater visibility than others within literary and academic institutions. Digital archival systems that rely heavily on existing datasets may unintentionally continue these inequalities by prioritizing already recognized narratives. This creates ethical concerns about whose stories are preserved, whose voices remain hidden, and who controls access to "cultural knowledge" and historical memory (Varon and Peña, 2021).

The growing relationship between feminism, archives, and artificial intelligence therefore requires careful examination. While digital technologies create new opportunities for preservation and accessibility, they also influence how feminist histories are interpreted and presented. Understanding feminist literary archives is essential for evaluating whether AI-driven digitization truly supports feminist goals of inclusion, representation, and historical justice.

3. Ethical Questions in AI-Driven Feminist Archives

The growing use of artificial intelligence in feminist literary archives raises several ethical concerns related to representation, ownership, consent, cultural sensitivity, and digital power. Although AI technologies improve accessibility and preservation, they also influence how feminist histories are organized, interpreted, and remembered. Since feminist archives preserve voices connected with struggle, identity, resistance, and memory, ethical questions become central to the process of digitization.

3.1. Can AI Systems Remain Free from Bias?

One of the most important ethical concerns in AI-driven archives is “algorithmic bias.” Artificial intelligence systems learn from datasets that often reflect social inequalities already present within society. When these systems are trained using dominant linguistic or cultural patterns, they may unintentionally prioritize already recognized narratives while reducing the visibility of less documented feminist voices (D’Ignazio and Klein, 2020). This issue becomes especially significant because many women writers, particularly those from marginalized communities, were historically excluded from mainstream literary institutions. If AI systems continue to privilege dominant perspectives, digital archives may reproduce the same inequalities they seek to overcome.

3.2. Can AI Accurately Represent Feminist Experiences?

Feminist literary archives contain personal writings such as diaries, letters, autobiographies, oral histories, and unpublished manuscripts. These texts often express emotional struggles, resistance, trauma, identity, and lived experiences. Artificial intelligence may identify patterns and keywords, but it cannot fully understand human emotions or historical complexities in the same way human interpretation can. Scholars argue that archives are never completely neutral because the process of selecting and categorizing materials shapes historical memory itself (Wilson, 2022). When AI technologies participate in this process, there is a risk that feminist narratives may lose their cultural and emotional depth.

3.3. Who Owns Digital Feminist Memories?

The issue of consent and ownership creates another ethical challenge. Many feminist archival materials were originally written within private or personal contexts and were never intended for large-scale digital circulation. Once these writings are digitized and made publicly accessible, questions emerge regarding privacy, ownership, and ethical control. Who has the authority to manage these materials once they become digital data? Can institutions or technological platforms claim ownership over cultural memories connected to specific communities? These concerns highlight the tension between public accessibility and ethical responsibility (Varon and Peña, 2021).

3.4. Does Digital Preservation Lead to Commercial Exploitation?

Another important ethical concern relates to commercialization. As AI technologies become increasingly connected with digital industries, feminist literary archives may also become part of systems driven by economic interests. Literary texts and cultural memories risk being transformed into forms of “digital data” used for technological development, algorithm training, or commercial expansion. In such situations, preservation may slowly shift toward exploitation. Feminist scholars therefore warn against reducing histories of resistance and lived experiences into marketable digital resources.

3.5. Can AI Respect Cultural Diversity and Context?

Cultural sensitivity remains equally important in AI-driven archival practices. Feminist literature reflects diverse experiences shaped by race, caste, language, class, sexuality, geography, and historical background. AI systems trained on limited or dominant datasets may fail to recognize these cultural differences and local expressions. Technologies influenced mainly by dominant knowledge systems may unintentionally overlook regional feminist narratives and marginalized perspectives (Adam,

2005). As a result, digital archives may appear inclusive while still excluding certain cultural experiences indirectly.

These ethical questions show that the debate surrounding AI in feminist literary archives is not only about technology but also about power, responsibility, and historical justice. Artificial intelligence can support preservation and accessibility, yet it also carries the possibility of repeating older inequalities in new digital forms. The challenge therefore lies in ensuring that technological advancement strengthens feminist representation rather than weakening it.

The future of feminist literary digitization depends on responsible and human-centered practices. AI should function as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for ethical interpretation and cultural understanding. Transparency, inclusivity, and careful human oversight remain essential if feminist literary archives are to preserve voices with dignity, authenticity, and historical respect.

3.6. Empowering Possibilities of AI in Feminist Literary Archives

Artificial intelligence has created new possibilities for preserving, accessing, and studying feminist literary archives in the digital age. Although ethical concerns surrounding AI remain important, digital technologies have also helped increase the visibility of feminist histories and literary contributions. Many women's writings remained historically neglected due to social restrictions, limited publication opportunities, and institutional exclusion. In this context, AI-driven digitization can function as a supportive tool for preservation, inclusion, and academic engagement when used responsibly.

3.7. Accessibility and Democratization of Feminist Literature

Can artificial intelligence make feminist literature more accessible to wider audiences? This question has become increasingly important within digital archival practices. Traditional literary archives were often physically limited to universities, museums, or private institutions, making access difficult for many readers and researchers. AI-supported digitization removes geographical barriers and allows students, scholars, and readers from different parts of the world to engage with feminist literary materials more easily.

AI technologies also improve searchability within large digital collections through automated metadata generation and text recognition systems. Readers can locate texts related to specific themes, authors, or historical periods with greater efficiency. Such accessibility strengthens feminist scholarship and encourages broader academic participation.

3.8. Preservation of Fragile Literary Heritage

Can AI protect endangered feminist literary materials from disappearing over time? Many historical manuscripts, diaries, letters, and unpublished writings deteriorate because of age, environmental damage, or limited preservation resources. Digital preservation supported by AI technologies helps create long-term storage possibilities while reducing physical handling of delicate documents. AI-based restoration tools can improve faded texts, reconstruct damaged pages, and enhance the readability of historical manuscripts (Jaillant, 2025). Through digitization, feminist literary archives gain stronger protection against physical loss and historical erasure. This process becomes especially valuable for preserving rare materials connected to women's social and literary histories.

3.9. Recovery of Forgotten Women Writers

Can digital technologies help recover voices neglected within literary history? Feminist archival work has long attempted to bring attention to women writers who remained overlooked within mainstream literary traditions. AI systems can analyze large collections of texts and identify overlooked authors, recurring themes, or hidden literary patterns that may not receive immediate attention through manual archival methods.

This process creates opportunities for rediscovering feminist writings that were previously excluded from academic discussions. AI-driven analysis therefore contributes to expanding literary history beyond dominant narratives and supports efforts toward greater representation and historical recognition.

3.10. Multilingual Accessibility and Global Scholarship

Can AI help feminist literature cross linguistic and cultural boundaries? Artificial intelligence has significantly improved multilingual accessibility through automated translation systems and language recognition technologies. Feminist literary archives often contain materials written across diverse languages, cultures, and historical contexts. AI-supported translation tools allow researchers and readers to engage with texts beyond their own linguistic backgrounds.

Such developments encourage global academic collaboration and strengthen cross-cultural feminist scholarship. Readers who previously lacked access to regional feminist writings can now engage with broader literary perspectives and experiences. This expanded accessibility contributes to a more inclusive understanding of feminist literary history.

3.11. Visibility and Representation in Digital Spaces

Can artificial intelligence strengthen the visibility of feminist histories within public discourse? Many feminist writings historically remained absent from mainstream literary discussions due to patriarchal structures and institutional exclusion. Digital archives supported by AI technologies help bring these voices into wider academic and public visibility.

Online accessibility allows feminist histories, literary experiences, and intellectual contributions to reach audiences beyond physical archives and academic institutions. In this sense, AI-driven digitization can support the feminist goal of challenging invisibility and creating spaces for recognition, participation, and representation. Although artificial intelligence cannot replace human interpretation or cultural sensitivity, it can serve as a valuable supportive tool when used ethically and responsibly. The empowering potential of AI depends largely on how these technologies are designed, supervised, and implemented. With careful human oversight and inclusive archival practices, AI-driven digitization can contribute positively to the preservation and visibility of feminist literary heritage.

4. Challenges and Limitations of AI-Driven Feminist Archives

While artificial intelligence offers several possibilities for preservation and accessibility, its growing use in feminist literary archives also presents important challenges and limitations. Technological advancement alone cannot guarantee ethical or inclusive archival practices. Questions related to inequality, interpretation, access, and technological dependency continue to shape debates surrounding AI-driven digitization.

4.1. Technological Dependency and Human Disconnection

Can excessive dependence on technology weaken human engagement with literary archives? One major limitation of AI-driven systems is the growing reliance on automated processes for organizing, interpreting, and preserving historical materials. Literary archives are deeply connected with emotion, memory, and cultural experience, yet AI systems primarily function through data recognition and computational patterns.

Excessive dependence on automation may reduce the role of human interpretation within archival practices. Feminist writings often contain emotional complexities, symbolic expressions, and cultural nuances that require human understanding rather than purely algorithmic analysis. If archival work becomes overly technology-centered, the human sensitivity necessary for preserving feminist narratives may gradually weaken.

4.2. Digital Divide and Unequal Access

Can digital archives truly become inclusive when technological access itself remains unequal? Although digitization improves accessibility for many researchers and readers, not all communities possess equal access to digital resources, stable internet connections, or technological infrastructure. Economic inequality, geographical limitations, and educational barriers continue to shape participation within digital spaces.

This “digital divide” creates the risk that certain groups may remain excluded from feminist archives despite technological advancement. Communities already experiencing social or economic marginalization may face additional barriers in accessing digital literary collections. As a result, digitization alone cannot automatically guarantee inclusivity or equal participation.

4.3. Bias within AI Systems

Can artificial intelligence remain neutral while operating through historically biased datasets? AI technologies depend heavily on existing data for training and analysis. Since historical datasets often reflect social inequalities related to gender, race, class, caste, and language, automated systems may unintentionally reproduce these biases within digital archives (D'Ignazio and Klein, 2020).

Feminist literary archives seek to recover neglected voices, yet AI systems trained on dominant literary patterns may continue prioritizing already visible narratives. Such limitations raise concerns regarding representation, visibility, and fairness within digital archival practices.

4.4. Loss of Historical and Cultural Context

Can digitization preserve the full meaning of feminist literary materials? Another important challenge involves the possible loss of historical and emotional context during digital transformation. Personal letters, diaries, autobiographies, and oral histories carry meanings connected to specific social experiences and historical moments.

When these materials are processed through automated systems, they may become reduced to searchable text or categorized data. AI technologies can organize information efficiently, but they cannot fully understand lived experiences, emotional depth, or cultural memory in the same way human scholars and archivists can. This limitation creates the risk of simplifying complex feminist narratives.

4.5. Lack of Ethical Regulations and Accountability

Who becomes responsible when AI systems create bias or misrepresentation within archives? The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence has often progressed faster than ethical regulations and institutional policies. Many digital archival systems still lack clear guidelines regarding consent, data protection, representation, and accountability.

Without proper ethical oversight, AI technologies may unintentionally misuse personal materials or reinforce exclusionary structures. Scholars therefore emphasize the need for transparent policies and human-centered decision-making within digital archival projects (Mannheimer et al., 2024).

4.6. Commercialization and Control of Cultural Data

Can feminist literary archives remain independent within technology-driven industries? Another limitation involves the increasing connection between AI technologies and commercial institutions. Digital archives may become dependent on technological platforms, corporate funding, or data-management systems controlled by private organizations. Such dependence creates concerns regarding ownership and control over feminist cultural memory. Literary materials connected with resistance, identity, and social struggle risk becoming forms of “digital data” shaped by commercial interests rather than ethical preservation goals. This situation may gradually weaken the autonomy and integrity of feminist archival work.

These challenges demonstrate that AI-driven digitization is not simply a technological process but also a social and ethical one. Artificial intelligence can support preservation and accessibility, yet it also carries limitations that must be critically examined. Responsible digitization therefore requires continuous human oversight, ethical awareness, and sensitivity toward the historical significance of feminist literary archives.

4.7. Toward an Ethical Framework for AI-Driven Feminist Archives

The growing use of artificial intelligence in feminist literary archives makes it necessary to develop ethical frameworks that balance technological innovation with human responsibility. AI-driven digitization cannot be guided only by efficiency, automation, or data management. Since feminist archives preserve histories of resistance, identity, memory, and cultural experience, ethical considerations must remain central to every stage of digital preservation and archival interpretation.

4.8. Why Is Human Oversight Necessary in AI-Based Archives?

Can artificial intelligence independently preserve feminist histories with complete fairness and sensitivity? Despite technological advancement, AI systems cannot fully replace human judgment, cultural understanding, or ethical interpretation. Feminist literary materials often contain emotional experiences, historical trauma, symbolic language, and social realities that require careful human analysis.

Human oversight therefore remains essential in archival decision-making. Archivists, scholars, researchers, and feminist practitioners must actively supervise how AI systems organize, categorize, and present literary materials. Ethical archival practices should ensure that technology supports human interpretation rather than replacing it entirely.

5. Why Should Inclusivity Guide Digital Archival Practices?

Can feminist archives truly represent diversity without inclusive digital systems? Feminist literature reflects experiences shaped by race, caste, class, sexuality, language, geography, disability, and cultural identity. Ethical AI systems must therefore be designed using diverse and representative datasets rather than relying only on dominant historical narratives.

Inclusive archival practices require conscious efforts to preserve voices that have historically remained ignored or underrepresented. AI technologies should support broader representation rather than reproducing existing inequalities. This approach helps feminist digital archives remain spaces of historical justice and cultural inclusivity.

5.1. Why Is Transparency Important in AI Systems?

Can ethical trust exist without transparency in technological processes? Many AI systems function through complex algorithms that remain difficult for users to fully understand. In archival practices, lack of transparency may create uncertainty regarding how literary materials are selected, classified, or prioritized.

Ethical AI frameworks should therefore promote transparency in algorithmic decision-making processes. Researchers and users should be aware of how digital systems organize archival materials and what limitations may exist within those systems. Transparency strengthens accountability and reduces the possibility of hidden bias within digital archives.

5.2. Why Must Consent and Ownership Be Protected?

Can feminist literary materials be ethically digitized without respecting consent and ownership rights? Many archival documents, including letters, diaries, oral histories, and unpublished writings, were created within personal contexts. Digitization should therefore respect privacy, intellectual ownership, and cultural sensitivity. Ethical frameworks must establish clear policies regarding the use, circulation, and protection of digital archival materials. Communities connected to these archives should also have a voice in decisions regarding how their cultural memories are preserved and represented. Such practices help prevent exploitation and strengthen ethical responsibility within digital humanities projects.

5.3. Why Is Cultural Sensitivity Essential in Digital Preservation?

Can AI systems understand cultural experiences without human guidance? Feminist literature often emerges from specific historical and cultural realities. AI technologies trained primarily on dominant linguistic or cultural datasets may fail to recognize regional expressions, symbolic meanings, and localized feminist experiences (Adam, 2005). Ethical archival practices must therefore prioritize cultural sensitivity and contextual understanding. Human-centered interpretation remains necessary to preserve the authenticity and emotional depth of feminist literary materials. Without such awareness, digitization may weaken the cultural richness of feminist archives.

5.4. Why Should Ethical Regulation Accompany Technological Innovation?

Can technological progress remain responsible without ethical regulation? As AI technologies continue expanding within digital humanities, clear ethical guidelines become increasingly necessary. Institutions involved in archival digitization should develop policies regarding bias prevention, accountability, consent, representation, and data protection. Scholars have emphasized that responsible AI practices require collaboration between technologists, archivists, literary scholars, and policymakers (Mannheimer et al., 2024). Ethical regulation helps ensure that technological advancement strengthens feminist preservation rather than creating new forms of exclusion or exploitation. The future of AI-driven feminist archives depends on maintaining a balance between innovation and ethical responsibility. Artificial intelligence can become a powerful tool for preservation and accessibility when guided by human-centered values, inclusivity, transparency, and cultural respect. Ethical frameworks are therefore essential for ensuring that digital technologies preserve feminist voices with dignity, authenticity, and historical integrity.

6. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into feminist literary archives has transformed the preservation and accessibility of literary histories in the digital age. AI-driven digitization offers important possibilities such as protecting fragile texts, recovering neglected women writers, improving accessibility, and expanding global engagement with feminist scholarship. At the same time, the study highlights serious ethical concerns related to algorithmic bias, representation, consent, ownership, commercialization, and cultural sensitivity. Since feminist archives preserve histories of struggle, identity, resistance, and lived experience, excessive dependence on automated systems may risk reducing these complex narratives into simplified digital data. The paper shows that AI can function as both a tool of empowerment and a source of exploitation depending on how it is designed and implemented. Ethical responsibility, human oversight, inclusivity, and transparency therefore remain essential within digital archival practices. Artificial intelligence should support feminist preservation without replacing cultural understanding and ethical interpretation. The future of feminist literary archives depends on creating digital systems that not only preserve information but also protect the dignity, authenticity, and historical significance of feminist voices.

References

1. Adam, Alison. *Gender, Ethics and Information Technology*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2005. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/9780230509268>
2. D'Ignazio, Catherine, and Lauren F. Klein. *Data Feminism*. MIT Press, 2020. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://data-feminism.mitpress.mit.edu/>
3. Jaillant, Lise. "How Can We Improve the Diversity of Archival Collections with Artificial Intelligence?" *Archives and Records*, 2025. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12442485/>
4. Klein, Lauren, and Catherine D'Ignazio. "Data Feminism for AI." *arXiv*, 2024. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.01286>
5. Mannheimer, Sara, et al. "Responsible AI Practice in Libraries and Archives." *Information Technology and Libraries*, vol. 43, no. 3, 2024. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://ital.corejournals.org/index.php/ital/article/view/17245>
6. Siapka, Anastasia. "Towards a Feminist Metaethics of AI." *arXiv*, 2023. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.14700>
7. Sousa, N. M. T. "The Algorithm of Silence: Artificial Intelligence, Archival Bias and the Ethical Reconstruction of Digital Memory." *Journal of Documentation*, vol. 82, no. 2, 2026, pp. 233–248.



- Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://www.emerald.com/jd/article/82/2/233/1336216/The-algorithm-of-silence-artificial-intelligence>
8. Spina, Salvatore. "Artificial Intelligence in Archival and Historical Scholarship Workflow: HTS and ChatGPT." *arXiv*, 2023. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.02044>
 9. UNESCO. *Preliminary Study on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. UNESCO, 2019. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000367823>
 10. Varon, Joana, and Paz Peña. "Artificial Intelligence and Consent: A Feminist Anti-Colonial Critique." *Internet Policy Review*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2021. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://policyreview.info/articles/analysis/artificial-intelligence-and-consent-feminist-anti-colonial-critique>
 11. Weinberg, Lindsay. "Rethinking Fairness: An Interdisciplinary Survey of Critiques of Hegemonic ML Fairness Approaches." *arXiv*, 2022. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.04460>
 12. Wernimont, Jacqueline. "Whence Feminism? Assessing Feminist Interventions in Digital Literary Archives." *Digital Humanities Quarterly*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2013. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <http://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/7/1/000156/000156.html>
 13. Widder, David Gray. "Epistemic Power in AI Ethics Labor: Legitimizing Located Complaints." *arXiv*, 2024. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.08171>
 14. Wilson, Nicola. "Digital Critical Archives, Copyright, and Feminist Praxis." *Archival Science*, vol. 22, 2022, pp. 315–334. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10502-021-09384-x>
 15. "Women Writers Project." Northeastern University Library. Accessed 21 May 2026. URL: <https://www.wwp.northeastern.edu/>