International Journal of Science and Engineering Science Research
Volume 1 | Issue 4 | October-December 2025 | ISSN 3049-2793
Peer Reviewed (Referred Journal)

A Sociological Examination of Rural Unemployment and Youth Dissatisfaction: A Theoretical Inquiry in the Indian Context

Alok Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Ganpat Sahay P.G. College, Sultanpur (U.P.), India

Email: Alokrawat92205@gmail.com

Abstract

Unemployment in rural India has transcended its status as a mere economic issue; it has developed into a significant social, cultural, and psychological challenge that impacts the core of rural society. Even though there have been many development projects, Five-Year Plans, and programs that guarantee jobs since Independence, the problem of finding long-term, fair job opportunities in the countryside is still there. The youth, regarded as the most dynamic and transformative segment of society, are ensnared in frustration, disillusionment, migration, and social deviation due to the lack of stable livelihoods.

This paper is a theoretical sociological examination that aims to analyse the issues of rural unemployment and youth discontent through the lenses of classical theorists, including Karl Marx, Émile Durkheim, and Robert K. Merton. The research contends that unemployment is not solely a consequence of economic disparity; instead, it arises from structural inequalities, the erosion of conventional value systems, and the inadequate adaptation to modernity.

The most recent data show that the overall unemployment rate in India's rural areas was 4.9% (June 2025, CMIE) and the unemployment rate for young people in rural areas was 10.2% (PLFS 2023-24). The rate is even higher for educated young people in rural areas, at about 20%. This shows that the gap between education and employment is getting bigger. This situation shows that rural communities are becoming more hopeless, moving away, and having social unrest.

The study finds that economic policies alone cannot solve the problems of unemployment and youth discontent. Instead, a sociological approach is needed, one that focusses on changing social institutions, values, and community systems to bring stability and

meaning back to rural life.

Keywords

Rural unemployment, youth discontent, sociological theory, anomie, alienation, strain theory, social change, rural India, and structural inequality.

Introduction

Historically, rural India has been an agricultural society, with its social and cultural fabric closely tied to farming. But as the twenty-first century began, the rural economy changed a lot because of quick economic liberalisation, technological progress, and globalisation. More than 65% of India's population still lives in rural areas, but agriculture now only makes up 17–18% of the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (NITI Aayog, 2024). This obvious difference shows how unbalanced the rural economy is and how little it can do to create enough jobs.

In India, rural unemployment is not just a lack of work; it is a complex social issue that includes underemployment, casual and seasonal work, migration, unstable livelihoods, and social despair. The problem is especially bad for young people, who are the most active and changing group in society. The Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) for 2023–24 says that 10.2% of rural youth (ages 15 to 29) are unemployed. For educated rural youth, the number is over 20%. This shows that even though education has gotten better in rural India, there is still a big gap between education and jobs.

This ongoing unemployment has led to various social repercussions, including increasing youth discontent, extensive migration, escalating criminal tendencies, addiction, and the deterioration of moral and cultural values. From a sociological perspective, these trends align with the notions of "Anomie" (Durkheim) and "Alienation" (Marx). As traditional institutions—like the family, caste associations, and village councils—lose their ability to control things, people's goals become less in line with reality, which leads to chaos and frustration. This social imbalance shows up as trouble for young people and bad behaviour.

Since gaining independence, many government programs have tried to help people find work in rural areas. Some of these are the Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP), the Swarna Jayanti Gramme Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY), the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA), the Skill India Mission, and the Start-Up India initiative. However, despite these endeavours, structural inequality and the education-employment gap endure, constraining their long-term efficacy.

In this context, the current study employs a sociological framework to analyse rural unemployment not solely as an economic failure, but as a result of the reconfiguration of social institutions and value systems. The increasing expression of discontent among rural youth indicates a profound social transformation occurring within Indian society. This study utilises classical sociological frameworks, specifically Karl Marx's theory of alienation, Émile Durkheim's theory of anomie, and Robert K. Merton's strain theory, to examine the issue in a comprehensive and theoretical context.

Study Objectives:

- This paper is theoretical and analytical, aiming to explore the social and structural interconnections between rural unemployment and youth discontent from a sociological perspective. The precise aims of the study are as follows:
- To examine the characteristics and socio-economic factors contributing to rural unemployment in India. The emphasis encompasses not only economic factors but also social structure, cultural value systems, and the significance of education and institutions.
- To elucidate the factors contributing to youth discontent via sociological theories. To specifically utilise Marx's theory of Alienation, Durkheim's theory of Anomie, and Merton's Strain Theory within the context of rural India.
- To comprehend the correlation between rural unemployment and social transformation. Investigating the impact of unemployment on family dynamics, migration patterns, community cohesion, and social values, as well as the reciprocal influence of social change on the conceptualisation of unemployment.
- To examine the socio-psychological repercussions of unemployment, encompassing frustration, disillusionment, addiction, criminality, migration, and social deviance.
- To offer policy-focused and theoretical suggestions. Proposing sociological and structural reforms essential for effectively tackling unemployment and youth discontent.

- To establish a theoretical framework for forthcoming empirical investigations. Allowing future field-based or comparative studies to build on this conceptual framework.

Methodology

This study employs a theoretical and descriptive framework. It does not rely on primary or field data; instead, it utilises a range of secondary sources, including sociological literature, government reports, and contemporary research documents. It is primarily a qualitative and interpretive study, seeking to elucidate the sociological implications of rural unemployment and youth dissatisfaction.

The main sources used are:

The Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India, is in charge of the Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS 2023–24)

The India Employment Outlook 2024 from NITI Aayog;

International Labour Organisation (ILO), Youth Employment in South Asia Report (2023);

And classical sociological texts, including Karl Marx's Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts (1844), Émile Durkheim's Suicide (1951), and Robert K. Merton's Social Theory and Social Structure (1968).

This study utilises the framework of Interpretive Sociology to analyse social reality, aiming to comprehend the fundamental human experiences, aspirations, and frustrations that influence social behaviour.

The research process consisted of four primary stages:

Review of Literature: Analysing books, research papers, and reports pertinent to rural unemployment and youth dissatisfaction.

Formulation of Theoretical Framework: Establishment of an analytical framework grounded in classical sociological theories.

Data Analysis: Analysing unemployment trends through the examination of data from PLFS, ILO, and NITI Aayog reports.

Interpretive Conclusion: Recognising the sociological implications arising from the interplay between theory and empirical evidence.

The methodological objective is to regard unemployment not solely as an economic issue but as a social condition intricately connected with themes of normlessness (anomie), alienation, and strain. The addition of statistical data only serves to boost credibility and understanding of the context. The main focus is still on sociological interpretation and theoretical reasoning.

Theoretical Framework

It is insufficient to comprehend rural unemployment and youth discontent solely through economic or policy perspectives. The origins of this phenomenon are deeply embedded in the structural inequalities, value shifts, and social transformations of modern Indian society. Consequently, this research utilises a theoretical framework based on three classical sociological perspectives—Karl Marx, Émile Durkheim, and Robert K. Merton—to analyse the social aspects of rural unemployment and the ensuing youth unrest.

(1) Karl Marx: Alienation and Class Structure

Karl Marx theorised unemployment as an inherent consequence of capitalist production systems, wherein the pursuit of profit maximisation prevails over social welfare. In these circumstances, workers increasingly become disconnected from their labour power, the production process, and ultimately their human essence—a phenomenon Marx referred to as alienation.

Marx's thesis is very relevant in the context of rural India. Smallholder farmers and agricultural workers have lost their traditional jobs because of things like land fragmentation, mechanisation, and reliance on the market. NITI Aayog (2024) says that a large part of the rural workforce (about 45%) still works in agriculture, even though productivity and real incomes are going down. This not only makes rural youth feel like they don't belong, but it also makes them feel like they don't have an identity.
Many young people in rural areas now feel like they are stuck in a double bind: they can't make a decent living in traditional farming, and they can't easily find work in modern cities. This dual form of estrangement makes them more likely to become socially isolated and lose their sense of purpose. Marx's theory of alienation elucidates the structural and class-oriented underpinnings of rural unemployment and youth dissatisfaction.

(2) Émile Durkheim: Anomie and Social Disintegration

Émile Durkheim coined the term "anomie" to characterise a condition of normlessness that emerges when a society's moral regulation and social controls deteriorate. When personal

ambitions become detached from the social reality capable of actualising them, a state of normative instability—termed anomie—emerges.

Rapid social changes in rural India, like the spread of education, the rise of mass media and mobile technologies, and more people moving to cities, have weakened many traditional social structures. The village social order that used to be based on kinship, caste, and panchayat institutions is slowly losing its ability to bring people together.

In these situations, rural youth often don't follow traditional rules and also have trouble adapting to life in the city. As a result, feelings of unhappiness, confusion, and lack of direction are common. PLFS (2023–24) shows that about 35% of unemployed young people in rural areas want to move to cities, which shows how uncertain things are in society right now.

Durkheim cautioned that anomic conditions might lead to consequences such as elevated suicide rates, criminal behaviour, and various manifestations of social deviance. Rural unemployment, as a catalyst for normative disintegration, consequently fosters anomie when social institutions fail to adequately govern aspirations and conduct.

(3) Robert K. Merton: The Theory of Strain

Robert K. Merton expanded upon Durkheim's theories by positing that culturally mandated objectives, coupled with disparate access to legitimate resources, create strain that may compel individuals to pursue deviant adaptations. Societies that prioritise success goals without guaranteeing fair means for their attainment generate social pressure and adaptive responses that may pose social challenges.

This framework works well in rural areas of India. Rural youth learn about culturally important goals like government jobs, economic success, and living in a city through school and the media. But there are real ways to reach these goals that are blocked by local labour market problems, a lack of industrialisation, and a lack of skills training.

Because of this, young people in rural areas feel stressed and frustrated. Some people move to cities, some take on informal or unstable jobs, and some engage in criminal or substance-abuse behaviours. The ILO (2023) states that about 29% of unemployed young people in India work in the informal economy. This supports Merton's idea of finding other ways to adapt when legitimate means are blocked.

(4) Combining the Three Theories

Marx, Durkheim, and Merton each shed light on distinct aspects of rural unemployment and youth discontent; together, they offer a thorough sociological analysis:

Marx emphasises the structural and class-based origins of unemployment, illustrating how capitalist relations and uneven development alienate labour.

Durkheim emphasises the cultural and normative ramifications, illustrating how diminished social regulation engenders anomie.

Merton elucidates the psychological and adaptive behaviours, detailing how obstructed opportunities result in strain and deviant adaptations.

These theories collectively illustrate that rural unemployment is not simply an absence of employment opportunities; it signifies structural inequality, cultural disintegration, and discrepancies between values and aspirations—conditions in which economic failure evolves into social crisis.

Discussion and Analysis

Rural unemployment and youth discontent represent a significant and complex social crisis in modern India. They signify a structural-cultural transition wherein rural society exists in a state of limbo between tradition and modernity.

(a) Current Trends and Patterns of Unemployment in Rural Areas

In rural India, unemployment is often hidden rather than obvious. The PLFS (2023–24) says that about 66% of India's labour force comes from rural areas. A large part of this group still relies on farming, even though farming's share of the national GDP has dropped to about 17.2% (NITI Aayog, 2024). This means that there are more workers than there are jobs.

The unemployment rate for rural youth (ages 15 to 29) is about 10.2%, but it is higher for educated rural youth, who have an unemployment rate of about 20.3%. These numbers show that there is a big difference between how many people are getting more education and how many jobs are available. ILO (2024) says that about 35% of young people living in rural areas think about moving because there aren't enough jobs for them there.

Another important thing about rural jobs is that they are seasonal and people are often not working enough. For example, during the agricultural cycle, workers have to move to cities temporarily or do informal construction work. Even though programs like MGNREGA offer temporary help, they haven't turned into a way to create long-term jobs.

(b) The Kind of Discontent Young People Have

Young people in rural areas are unhappy not only because they don't have enough money, but also because they are having trouble with their values and their identity. Modern education and digital media have expanded aspirations while simultaneously highlighting the disparity between expectations and local opportunities, a phenomenon aptly termed incomplete modernity.

Marx's analysis of alienation posits that numerous rural youths are estranged from the labour that previously constituted their social identity. They are no longer comfortably settled in farming jobs or fully integrated into city job markets. Instead, they are stuck in a middle ground that makes them unhappy, use drugs, and feel hopeless.

Durkheim's concept of anomie is relevant here as well: when social structures that enforce rules (like family, caste, and village councils) break down, people's hopes and dreams become less stable, which hurts social cohesion. Merton's strain theory elucidates that when culturally sanctioned objectives are inaccessible via legitimate avenues, youth engage in alternative adaptations, including migration, informal employment, political mobilisation, or deviance.

(c) Changes to policies and institutions

The Indian government has put in place a number of programs to help people in rural areas find work, such as:

MGNREGA (2005) — making sure that rural families can work for 100 days and get paid;

The Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY) trained about 13.5 million (1.35 crore) people by 2024, according to the Ministry of Skill Development (2024).

Start-Up India (2016) — encouraging people to start their own businesses, even in rural areas. However, these interventions work better in some areas than others. States in central and eastern India, such as Bihar, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, and eastern Uttar Pradesh, still have higher unemployment rates than the national average. Training programs give young people skills, but the lack of local markets, investment, and connections to industry often makes it hard for them to find stable jobs.

(d) Effects and Impacts on Society

Rural unemployment has a lot of different effects on society:

Migration: The movement of working-age youth to cities makes farming less productive, puts a strain on city infrastructure, and breaks up rural family structures. Deviance and Crime: Some young people may turn to illegal activities when they are unhappy with their jobs. Substance Abuse and Suicide: NCRB (2024) data show that suicides are rising among people who are unemployed, with rural youth being the most affected group. As economic and social roles change, traditional values like respect for work, collectivism, and family unity are under threat.

These trends collectively suggest that unemployment has evolved into a systemic sociological issue that undermines the fundamental structure of rural existence.

(e) Synthesis in Sociology

When you connect real-world trends to the three theoretical lenses, you get the following sociological synthesis:

Unemployment, at the structural level, signifies class-based disparities and inconsistent capitalist advancement.

It causes anomie and a breakdown of normal orders on a cultural level.

It causes stress and alienation among young people on a psychological level.

Consequently, policies focused solely on job creation, while neglecting institutional, cultural, and normative deficiencies, are improbable to yield enduring social stability.

Key Discoveries and Policy Suggestions

The sociological analysis of this study reveals that rural unemployment and youth discontent constitute a multifaceted social crisis linked to structural configurations, institutional deficiencies, and cultural dynamics. The main results and suggested actions are as follows:

Key Discoveries

Structural Inequalities Persist: Agriculture still employs most of the rural workforce, but its contribution to national income is decreasing (PLFS 2023–24; NITI Aayog 2024).

Mismatch between education and jobs: More education hasn't led to more jobs; in rural areas, about 20.8% of graduates are unemployed.

Increasing Discontent and Plans to Move: A significant proportion of rural youth indicate

a desire to migrate, reflecting systemic local deficiencies (ILO 2024).

The weakening of traditional regulatory institutions is causing anomie, which is the breakdown of social control mechanisms.

Psychological Alienation: Young individuals endure a loss of dignity, purpose, and social belonging, aligning with the concept of Marxian alienation.

Policy Impact Varies by Region: Employment programs don't work the same way in all states and districts.

Suggestions for Policy

Rural Education Focused on Skills: Change the curriculum to include vocational training that is relevant to local industries, agri-tech, and small businesses.

Encouraging Rural Entrepreneurship and Cooperatives: To create jobs in the area, improve microfinance, market linkages, and district-level product branding (for example, the ODOP initiatives).

Strengthening Local Institutions: Give Panchayati Raj institutions, SHGs, and youth groups more power in planning jobs and carrying out programs.

Digital Inclusion and Technical Training: Build up the digital infrastructure in rural areas and teach young people how to use it so they can get jobs in new fields. Rural Industrialisation: Encourage agro-processing, renewable energy projects, handicrafts, and localised manufacturing to create long-term jobs outside of farming. Psychosocial Support and Career Counselling: Set up youth help centres and counselling services at the block level to help with mental health, career advice, and social reintegration. Regionally Tailored Development Policies: Focus on areas with high unemployment by making investments, giving incentives to businesses, and building up infrastructure. Support for Research and Innovation: Encourage academic and policy research on rural labour markets and sociological interventions to help make decisions about policies that work best in specific areas.

Conclusion

The issue of rural unemployment and youth dissatisfaction in India is neither novel nor solely economic in character. It signifies a profound sociological crisis, stemming from structural inequality, institutional dysfunction, and the degradation of moral and cultural values. The results of this study indicate that unemployment transcends mere "joblessness"; it also signifies the erosion of meaning, identity, and social direction within rural society.

Karl Marx's theory of alienation posits that the rural worker has become progressively disconnected from both labour and production. His work does not confer dignity nor guarantee stability, leading to a profound disconnection from his inherent human potential. Émile Durkheim's notion of anomie elucidates that when conventional institutions and moral standards fail to govern human ambitions, society descends into a state of normlessness—a phenomenon prominently observable in contemporary rural India. Robert K. Merton's strain theory also shows that when people can't use legitimate ways to reach culturally set goals, they feel frustrated, stressed, and often act in ways that are against the rules or try to escape.

The study also shows that the problems facing young people in rural areas are not only caused by a lack of jobs, but also by a basic mismatch between what society expects of them and what they can actually do. Modern education has raised people's hopes, but the social and institutional structures that go along with it have not kept up. As a result, rural communities have seen patterns of despair, migration, crime, and social disorganisation.

From a sociological perspective, resolving this issue necessitates more than economic measures; it requires the reformation of social structures, value systems, and institutional frameworks. It is important to create more jobs, but this should be done alongside efforts to bring back values like self-reliance, solidarity, and moral balance in society.

In the end, rural unemployment and youth discontent show that India's modernisation is not yet complete. It has made progress in terms of material goods, but it is still not finished when it comes to equality, stability, and social justice. In this context, sociology must go beyond just theoretical analysis and take an active role in directing social reconstruction, institutional reform, and policy innovation to make sure that rural transformation is more inclusive and cohesive.

References

1. Central Statistics Office. (2023–2024). Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) Annual Report 2023–24. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.
2. Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE). (2025, June). Unemployment and Labour Market Indicators: Rural India Update. Mumbai: CMIE Publications.
3. NITI Aayog. (2024). India Employment Outlook 2024. New Delhi: NITI Aayog, Government of India.
4. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2023). Youth Employment in South Asia: Trends and Policy Challenges. Geneva: International Labour Office.
5. National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB). (2024). Accidental Deaths and Suicides in India 2023: Statistical Report. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
6. Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship. (2024). Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY) Annual Report 2023–24. Government of India.
7. Government of India. (2024). Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) Performance Report 2023–24. Ministry of Rural Development, New Delhi.
8. National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). (2022). Employment and Unemployment Situation in India. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.
9. Marx, K. (1977). Economic and Philosophic Manuscripts of 1844. Moscow: Progress Publishers.
10. Durkheim, É. (1951). Suicide: A Study in Sociology. New York: The Free Press.
11. Merton, R. K. (1968). Social Theory and Social Structure. New York: The Free Press.
12. Desai, A. R. (1969). Rural Sociology in India. Bombay: Popular Prakashan.
13. Dube, S. C. (1958). India's Changing Villages: Human Factors in Community Development. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
14. Singh, Y. (2010). Modernization of Indian Tradition: A Sociological Study. Jaipur: Rawat Publications.
15. Sharma, K. L. (2013). Social Stratification and Mobility. Jaipur: Rawat Publications.
16. Sen, A. (2000). Development as Freedom. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.